Introduction to Accelerator Physics 2011 Mexican Particle Accelerator School

Lecture 1-2/7: Intro, Relativity, E&M, Weak Focusing, Betatrons, Transport Matrices

Todd Satogata (Jefferson Lab) satogata@jlab.org http://www.toddsatogata.net/2011-MePAS

Wednesday, September 28, 2011



1

efferson Lab

About These Lectures

- **Objective:** Comfort with synchrotron transverse optics
 - Optics principles found in synchrotrons, light sources
 - Accelerator physics language/terminology
 - Ties many other concepts in the field together
 - Mostly "single particle" dynamics
 - John Byrd will teach instabilities next week
- Recommended Reading

efferson Lab

- Conte/MacKay: "An Introduction to the Physics of Particle Accelerators", 2nd Edition
- Edwards/Syphers: "An Introduction to the Physics of High Energy Accelerators"



MePAS Accelerator Physics Syllabus

- 1-2: Wednesday
 - Relativity/EM review, coordinates, cyclotrons
 - Weak focusing, transport matrices, dipole magnets, dispersion
- 3: Thursday
 - Edge focusing, quadrupoles, accelerator lattices, start FODO
- 4: Friday
 - Periodic lattices, FODO optics, emittance, phase space
- 5: Saturday
 - Insertions, beta functions, tunes, dispersion, chromaticity
- 6: Monday
 - Dispersion suppression, light source optics (DBA, TBA, TME)
- 7: Tuesday

lefferson Lab

(Nonlinear dynamics), Putting it all together







Design trajectory

efferson Lab

- Particle motion will be perturbatively expanded around a design trajectory or orbit
- This orbit can be over 10¹⁰ km in a storage ring
- Separation of fields: Lorentz force $\vec{F} = q(\vec{E} + \vec{v} \times \vec{B})$
 - Magnetic fields from static or slowly-changing magnets
 - transverse to design trajectory \hat{x}, \hat{y}
 - Electric fields from high-frequency RF cavities
 - in direction of design trajectory \hat{s}
 - Relativistic charged particle velocities



Relativity Review

- Accelerators: applied E&M and special relativity
- Relativistic parameters:

letterson Lab

$$\beta \equiv \frac{v}{c}$$
 $\gamma \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\beta^2}}$ $\beta = \sqrt{1-1/\gamma^2}$

- After this lecture I will try to use β_r and γ_r to avoid confusion with other uses of β and γ in accelerator optics
- γ=1 (classical mechanics) to ~2.05x10⁵ (to date) (where??)
- Total energy U, momentum p, and kinetic energy W

$$U = \gamma mc^2$$
 $p = (\beta \gamma)mc = \beta \left(\frac{U}{c}\right)$ $W = (\gamma - 1)mc^2$



Relative Relativity



LEP energy

Input interpretation:

LEP (Large Electron Positron Collider) ce

Result:

208 GeV (gigaelectronvolts)

Unit conversions:

Jefferson Lab

0.208 TeV (teraelectronvolts)

 $2.08 \times 10^{11} \text{ eV} \text{ (electronvolts)}$

0.03333 µJ (microjoules)

 3.333×10^{-8} J (joules)

0.3333 ergs

Comparisons as energy:

≈ (0.21 ≈ 1/5) ×



approximate kinetic energy of a flying mosquito ($\approx 1.6 \times 10^{-7}$ J)

 $\approx 2.2 \times mass-energy$ equivalent of a Z boson ($\approx 1.5 \times 10^{-8}$ J)



Convenient Energy Units

 $1 \text{ eV} = (1.602 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C})(1 \text{ V}) = 1.602 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J}$ $1 \text{ MeV} = 1.602 \times 10^{-13} \text{ J}$ $1 \text{ GeV} = 1.602 \times 10^{-10} \text{ J}$

- How much is 1 TeV? (LHC beams to 7 TeV)
 - Energy to raise 1g about 16 μm against gravity
 - Energy to power 100W light bulb 1.6 ns
- But many accelerators have 10¹⁰⁻¹² particles
 - Single bunch "instantaneous power" of Terawatts over a few ns
- Highest energy cosmic ray

efferson Lab

~300 EeV (3x10²⁰ eV or 3x10⁸ TeV!) OMG particle
 ab





(125 g hamster at 100 km/hr)

Relativity Review (Again)

- Accelerators are applied special relativity
- Relativistic parameters:

$$\beta \equiv \frac{v}{c} \qquad \gamma \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}} \qquad \beta = \sqrt{1 - 1/\gamma^2}$$

- After this lecture, will try to use β_r and γ_r to avoid confusion with other lattice parameters
- $\gamma = 1$ (classical mechanics) to $\sim 2x10^5$ (at LEP)
- Total energy U, momentum p, and kinetic energy W

$$U = \gamma mc^2$$
 $p = (\beta \gamma)mc = \beta \left(\frac{U}{c}\right)$ $W = (\gamma - 1)mc^2$

Jefferson Lab





- Derived in Conte/MacKay, hold for all γ
- In highly relativistic limit β≈1

lefferson Lab

- Usually must be careful below γ≈5 or U≈5 mc²
 For high energy electrons this is only U≈2.5 MeV
- Many accelerator physics phenomena scale with γ^k or (βγ)^k

Relativistic Electromagnetism

- Accelerators are also applied electromagnetism
- Classical electromagnetic potentials can be shown to combine to a four-potential (with c=1): $A^{\alpha} \equiv (\Phi, \vec{A})$

$$F^{\alpha\beta} = \partial^{\alpha}A^{\beta} - \partial^{\beta}A^{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_{x} & E_{y} & E_{z} \\ -E_{x} & 0 & -B_{z} & B_{y} \\ -E_{y} & B_{z} & 0 & -B_{x} \\ -E_{z} & -B_{y} & B_{x} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

• E/B fields Lorentz transform with factors of γ , ($\beta\gamma$)

J.D. Jackson, Classical Electrodynamics 2nd Ed, Chapter 11 – a classic graduate text!



11

lefferson Lab

Relativistic Electromagnetism II

The relativistic electromagnetic force equation becomes

$$\frac{dp^{\alpha}}{d\tau} = m \frac{du^{\alpha}}{d\tau} = \frac{q}{c} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{\beta}$$

Thankfully we can write this in simpler terms

$$\frac{d(\gamma m \vec{v})}{dt} = q \left(\vec{E} + \vec{v} \times \vec{B} \right)$$

- That is, "classical" E&M force equations hold if we treat the momentum as relativistic.
- If we dot in the velocity, we get energy transfer

$$\frac{d\gamma}{dt} = \frac{q\vec{E}\cdot\vec{v}}{mc^2}$$

 Unsurprisingly, we can only get energy changes from electric fields, not magnetic fields

Jefferson Lab



Constant Magnetic Field, Particle Energy



 In a constant magnetic field, constant-energy charged particles move in circular arcs of radius ρ with constant angular velocity ω:

$$\vec{F} = \frac{d}{dt}(\gamma m \vec{v}) = \gamma m \frac{d\vec{v}}{dt} = q\vec{v} \times \vec{B}$$
$$\vec{v} = \vec{\omega} \times \vec{\rho} \quad \Rightarrow \quad q\vec{v} \times \vec{B} = \gamma m \vec{\omega} \times \frac{d\vec{\rho}}{dt} = \gamma m \vec{\omega} \times \vec{v}$$

T. Satogata / Fall 2011

Jefferson Lab



Constant Magnetic Field, Particle Energy II



• For $\vec{B} \perp \vec{v}$ we then have:

$$qvB = \frac{\gamma m v^2}{\rho}$$
 $p = \gamma m(\beta c) = q(B\rho)$

$$\omega = \frac{v}{\rho} = \frac{qB}{\gamma m} \qquad \qquad \frac{p}{q} = (B\rho)$$

T. Satogata / Fall 2011

Jefferson Lab



Rigidity: Bending Radius vs Momentum

Beam

efferson Lab

$$\frac{p}{q} = (B\rho)$$

Accelerator (magnets, geometry)

- This is such a useful expression in accelerator physics that it has its own name: rigidity
- Ratio of momentum to charge
 - How hard (or easy) is a particle to deflect?
 - Often expressed in [T-m] (easy to calculate B)
 - (Be careful when q≠e)
- A very useful expression for particle bending:

 $p[{\rm GeV/c}]\approx 0.3\,B[{\rm T}]\,\rho[{\rm m}]\,q[{\rm e}]$



Application: Particle Spectrometer

- Identify particle momentum by measuring bend angle α from a calibrated magnetic field B





- Another very useful expression is the particle angular frequency in a constant field: cyclotron frequency
- In the nonrelativistic approximation

$$\omega_{\text{nonrelativistic}} \approx \frac{qB}{m}$$

Here revolution frequency is independent of radius or energy!

Jefferson Lab



Lawrence and the Cyclotron



Ernest Orlando Lawrence

Jefferson Lab

 Can we repeatedly spiral and accelerate particles through the same potential gap?





Electric field accelerating gap $\Delta \Phi$



18

MePAS Intro to Accel Physics

T. Satogata / Fall 2011

Cyclotron Frequency Again

Recall that for a constant B field

$$p = \gamma m v = q(B\rho) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \rho = \left(\frac{\gamma m}{qB}\right) v$$

- Radius/circumference of orbit scale with velocity
 - Circulation time (and frequency) are independent of v
- Apply AC electric field in the gap at frequency f_{rf}
 - Particles accelerate until rising $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ pulls them off resonance

$$\omega = \frac{v}{\rho} = \frac{qB}{\gamma m}$$
 $f_{\rm rf} = \frac{\omega}{2\pi} = \frac{qB}{2\pi\gamma m}$

- Note a first appearance of "bunches", not DC beam
- BUT works best with heavy particles (hadrons, not electrons)

efferson Lab

🎯 📢



All The Fundamentals of an Accelerator

- Large static magnetic fields for guiding (~1T)
- HV RF electric fields for accelerating
 - (RF phase focusing)
- p/H source, injection, extraction, vacuum
- 13 cm: 80 keV
- 28 cm: 1 MeV

Jefferson Lab

- 69 cm: ~5 MeV
- … 223 cm: ~55 MeV



T. Satogata / Fall 2011





Parameterizing Particle Motion: Coordinates

- Now we derive more general equations of motion
- We need a local coordinate system $(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z} \equiv \hat{s})$ relative to the design particle trajectory s is the direction of design particle motion $\vec{B_0} = B_0 \hat{y}$ y is the main magnetic field direction x is the radial direction ρ is not a coordinate, but the design bending radius in magnetic field B_0
- Can express total radius R as
 $\begin{bmatrix}
 R = \rho + x \\
 \theta = \frac{s}{R} = \frac{\beta ct}{R}$ Also define local trajectory angle

T. Satogata / Fall 2011

$$x' \equiv \frac{dx}{ds} = \frac{1}{R} \frac{dx}{d\theta}$$

efferson Lab



s=0

 $R = \rho + x$

Parameterizing Particle Motion: Approximations

We will make a few reasonable approximations:
 0) No local currents (beam travels in a near-vacuum)

1) Paraxial approximation: $x', y' \ll 1$ or $p_x, p_y \ll p_s$

2) Perturbative coordinates: $x, y \ll \rho$

3) Transverse linear B field: $\vec{B} = B_0 \hat{y} + (x \hat{y} + y \hat{x}) \left(\frac{\partial B_y}{\partial x}\right)$

Note this obeys Maxwell's equations in free space

4) Negligible E field: $\gamma \approx \text{constant}$

- Equivalent to assuming adiabatically changing B fields relative to dx/dt, dy/dt

efferson Lab



(A0)

Parameterizing Particle Motion: Acceleration

Lorentz force equation of motion is

$$q\vec{v} \times \vec{B} = \frac{d(\gamma m\vec{v})}{dt} = \gamma m \dot{\vec{v}} \quad \text{(A4)}$$

 Calculate velocity and acceleration in our coordinate system

$$\vec{v} = \dot{R}\hat{x} + R\dot{\hat{x}} + \dot{y}\hat{y} = \dot{R}\hat{x} + R\dot{\theta}\hat{s} + \dot{y}\hat{y}$$

$$\dot{\vec{v}} = \ddot{R}\hat{x} + (2\dot{R}\dot{\theta} + R\ddot{\theta})\hat{s} + R\dot{\theta}\dot{\hat{s}} + \ddot{y}\hat{y}$$
$$\dot{\hat{s}} = -\dot{\theta}\hat{x} = -\frac{v}{R}\hat{x}$$
$$\mathbf{SO} \quad \dot{\vec{v}} = (\ddot{R} - R\dot{\theta}^2)\hat{x} + (2\dot{R}\dot{\theta} + R\ddot{\theta})\hat{s} + \ddot{y}\hat{y}$$

T. Satogata / Fall 2011

lefferson Lab

 $\dot{\vec{v}} = \left[\left(\ddot{x} - \frac{v^2}{R} \right) \hat{x} + \frac{2\dot{x}v}{R} \hat{s} + \ddot{y}\hat{y} \right]$

$$\hat{x}_{1}$$

$$\hat{s}$$

$$s_{1}$$

$$s_{1}$$

$$\delta = \frac{s_{2} - s_{1}}{\rho}$$

 \hat{x}_2



Parameterizing Particle Motion: Eqn of Motion



Equations of Motion

Apply our paraxial and linearization approximations

$$p = qB_{0}\rho \quad R = \rho \left(1 + \frac{x}{\rho}\right)^{(A2)} B_{y} = B_{0} + \left(\frac{\partial B_{y}}{\partial x}\right)^{(A3)} x \quad B_{x} = \left(\frac{\partial B_{y}}{\partial x}\right)^{(A3)} y$$
Horizontal:
$$\frac{d^{2}x}{d\theta^{2}} + \left(\frac{qB_{y}}{p}R - 1\right)R = 0$$

$$\frac{d^{2}x}{d\theta^{2}} + \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{B_{0}}\frac{\partial B_{y}}{\partial x}x\right)\left(1 + \frac{x}{\rho}\right) - 1\right]\rho\left(1 + \frac{x}{\rho}\right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d^{2}x}{d\theta^{2}} + (1 - n)x = 0 \qquad \text{where} \qquad n = -\frac{\rho}{B_{0}}\left(\frac{\partial B_{y}}{\partial x}\right)$$
Vertical:
$$\frac{d^{2}y}{d\theta^{2}} - \frac{qB_{x}}{p}R^{2} = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \frac{d^{2}y}{d\theta^{2}} + ny = 0$$
From Lab

JSA

Homework (Wednesday)

- Expand the horizontal equation of motion to second order in x
 - Does it reduce to the stated equation at first order?
 - Use expansions for R, B that are still first order!
- Expand the horizontal and vertical equations of motion to second order in x, y, δ (p. 43 of these slides)
 - Use expansions for R, B that are still first order!

efferson Lab



Simple Equations of Motion!

$$\frac{d^2x}{d\theta^2} + (1-n)x = 0 \qquad \qquad \frac{d^2y}{d\theta^2} + ny = 0$$

- These are like simple harmonic oscillator equations (Not surprising since we linearized 2nd order differential equations)
- These are known as the weak focusing equations
 If n does not depend on θ, stability is only possible in both
 planes if 0<n<1
 </p>

 This is known as the weak focusing criterion



28

lefferson Lab



But Wasn't 0<n<1 Stable?

- This seems to indicate n>0 is horizontally unstable!
- Horizontal motion is a combination of two forces
 Centrifugal mv^2/R and centripetal Lorentz qvB_y Both forces cancel by definition for the design trajectory

$$F_{tot} = \frac{mv^2}{R} - qvB_y \approx \frac{mv^2}{\rho} \left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right) - qvB_0 \left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^n = qvB_0 \left(\frac{1}{\zeta} - \frac{1}{\zeta^n}\right)$$

1 $\zeta \equiv R/\rho$

T. Satogata / Fall 2011

n = 0.5

2

1

0

- 1

Jefferson Lab

- 1 70









- Weak focusing formalism was originally developed for the Betatron
- Apply Faraday's law with time-varying current in coils
- Beam sees time-varying accelerating electric field too!
- Early proofs of stability: focusing and "betatron" motion

Donald Kerst UIUC 2.5 MeV Betatron, 1940

Jefferson Lab



Don't try this at home!! T. Satogata / Fall 2011



UIUC 312 MeV betatron, 1949







Back to Solutions of Equations of Motion

$$\frac{d^2x}{d\theta^2} + (1-n)x = 0 \qquad \qquad \frac{d^2y}{d\theta^2} + ny = 0$$

- Assume azimuthal symmetry (n does not depend on θ)
- Solutions are simple harmonic oscillator solutions

$$x(\theta) = A\cos(\theta\sqrt{1-n}) + B\sin(\theta\sqrt{1-n})$$
$$\frac{dx}{d\theta} = \sqrt{1-n}[-A\sin(\theta\sqrt{1-n}) + B\cos(\theta\sqrt{1-n})]$$

• Constants A,B are related to initial conditions (x_0, x'_0)

$$x_0 = x(\theta = 0) = A \qquad x'_0 = \frac{1}{\rho} \left(\frac{dx}{d\theta}\right)(\theta = 0) = \frac{\sqrt{1-n}}{\rho}B$$
$$A = x_0 \qquad B = \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{1-n}}x'_0$$

efferson Lab



Solutions of Equations of Motion

Write down solutions in terms of initial conditions

$$x(\theta) = \cos(\theta\sqrt{1-n}) x_0 + \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{1-n}} \sin(\theta\sqrt{1-n}) x'_0$$

$$x'(\theta) = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{dx}{d\theta} = -\frac{\sqrt{1-n}}{\rho} \sin(\theta\sqrt{1-n}) x_0 + \cos(\theta\sqrt{1-n}) x'_0$$

 This can be (very) conveniently written as matrices (including both horizontal and vertical)

$$\begin{pmatrix} x(\theta) \\ x'(\theta) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta\sqrt{1-n}) & \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{1-n}}\sin(\theta\sqrt{1-n}) \\ -\frac{\sqrt{1-n}}{\rho}\sin(\theta\sqrt{1-n}) & \cos(\theta\sqrt{1-n}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x'_0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} y(\theta) \\ y'(\theta) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta\sqrt{n}) & \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{n}}\sin(\theta\sqrt{n}) \\ -\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\rho}\sin(\theta\sqrt{n}) & \cos(\theta\sqrt{n}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ y'_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Jefferson Lab



Transport Matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} y(\theta) \\ y'(\theta) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta\sqrt{n}) & \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{n}}\sin(\theta\sqrt{n}) \\ -\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\rho}\sin(\theta\sqrt{n}) & \cos(\theta\sqrt{n}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ y'_0 \end{pmatrix} = M_V(\theta) \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ y'_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- M_V here is an example of a transport matrix
 - Linear: derived from linear equations
 - Will be concatenated to make further transformations $M_V(\theta_1+\theta_2)=M_V(\theta_1)M_V(\theta_2)$
 - Depends only on "length" $\theta,$ radius $\rho,$ and "field" n
 - Acts to transform or transport coordinates to a new state
 - Our accelerator "lattices" will be built out of these matrices
 - Unimodular: det(M_V)=1

efferson Lab

- More strongly, it's symplectic: $S = M_V^T S M_V$ where $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
- Hamiltonian dynamics, phase space conservation (Liouville)
- These matrices here are scaled rotations!



Sinusoidal Solutions, Betatron Phases

$$\begin{pmatrix} x(\theta) \\ x'(\theta) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta\sqrt{1-n}) & \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{1-n}}\sin(\theta\sqrt{1-n}) \\ -\frac{\sqrt{1-n}}{\rho}\sin(\theta\sqrt{1-n}) & \cos(\theta\sqrt{1-n}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x'_0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} y(\theta) \\ y'(\theta) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta\sqrt{n}) & \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{n}}\sin(\theta\sqrt{n}) \\ -\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\rho}\sin(\theta\sqrt{n}) & \cos(\theta\sqrt{n}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ y'_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Sinusoidal simple harmonic oscillators solutions
 - Particles move in transverse betatron oscillations around the design trajectory (x, x') = (y, y') = 0
- We define betatron phases

$$\phi_x(s) \equiv \theta \sqrt{1-n} = \frac{s}{\rho} \sqrt{1-n} \qquad \phi_y(s) \equiv \theta \sqrt{n} = \frac{s}{\rho} \sqrt{n}$$

Write matrix equation in terms of s rather than $\boldsymbol{\theta}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x(s) \\ x'(s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi_x(s) & \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{1-n}} \sin \phi_x(s) \\ -\frac{\sqrt{1-n}}{\rho} \sin \phi_x(s) & \cos \phi_x(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x'_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

lefferson Lab



Visualization of Betatron Oscillations Simplest case: constant uniform vertical field (n=0) 0.8 sine-like 0.6 horizontal displacement x 0.4 0.2 design -0.2 design design -0.4 cosine-like -0.6 sine-like cosine-like -0.8 $x_0 = 0, x'_0 \neq 0$ $x_0 \neq 0, x_0' = 0$ 1 2 3 5 6 Λ theta More complicated strong focusing a) b) Horizontal Betatron Oscillation Vertical Betatron Oscillation with tune: $Q_h = 6.3$, with tune: $Q_v = 7.5$, i.e., 6.3 oscillations per turn. i.e., 7.5 oscillations per turn.

MePAS Intro to Accel Physics



37

T. Satogata / Fall 2011

Jefferson Lab

Visualization of Betatron Oscillations, Tunes

- What happens for 0<n<1?</p>
 - Example picture below has 5 "turns" with $sin(0.89 \theta)$
 - The betatron oscillation precesses, not strictly periodic
 - Betatron tune Q_{X,Y}: number of cycles made for every revolution or turn around accelerator

$$Q_x = \frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{1-n}(2\pi) = \sqrt{1-n}$$

$$Q_y = \frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{n}(2\pi) = \sqrt{n}$$
Frequency of betatron oscillations relative to turns around accelerator
$$Q_x^2 + Q_y^2 = 1$$
For weak focusing:
$$Q_x^2 + Q_y^2 = 1$$
For Veak focusing:
$$Q_x^2 + Q_y^2 = 1$$
For Veak focusing:
$$Q_x^2 + Q_y^2 = 1$$
MePAS Intro to Accel Physics 38

Transport Matrices: Piecewise Solutions

$$\begin{pmatrix} y(\theta) \\ y'(\theta) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta\sqrt{n}) & \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{n}}\sin(\theta\sqrt{n}) \\ -\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\rho}\sin(\theta\sqrt{n}) & \cos(\theta\sqrt{n}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ y'_0 \end{pmatrix} = M_V(\theta) \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ y'_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

 Linear transport matrices make piecewise solutions of equations of motion accessible



"Cell" transport matrix:

 $M_{\rm cell} = M(\theta) M_{\rm drift}$

"One turn" transport matrix:

 $M_{\text{one turn}} = (M(\theta) M_{\text{drift}})^4$

Build accelerator optics out of "Lego" transport matrices

Transport Matrices: Accelerator Legos

With linear fields, there are two basic types of Legos

- Dipoles $\vec{B} = B_0 \hat{y} + (x \hat{y} + y \hat{x}) \left(\frac{\partial B_y}{\partial x}\right)$ $B_0 \neq 0$
 - Often long magnets to bend design trajectory
 - Entrance/exit locations can become important
 - May or may not include focusing ("combined function")
 - Special case: drift when all B components are zero

• Quadrupoles
$$\vec{B} = (x\hat{y} + y\hat{x})\left(\frac{\partial B_y}{\partial x}\right)$$
 $B_0 = 0$

- Design trajectory is straight! (no fields at x=y=0)
- Act to focus particles moving off of design trajectory
- Special case: "thin lens" approximation
- We'll talk about quadrupoles tomorrow (Thursday)

efferson Lab



Transport Matrices: Dipole

 We have already derived a very general transport matrix for a dipole magnet with focusing

$$\begin{pmatrix} x(s)\\ x'(s)\\ y(s)\\ y'(s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\phi_x(s) & \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{1-n}}\sin\phi_x(s) & 0 & 0\\ -\frac{\sqrt{1-n}}{\rho}\sin\phi_x(s) & \cos\phi_x(s) & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \cos\phi_y(s) & \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{n}}\sin\phi_y(s)\\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\rho}\sin\phi_y(s) & \cos\phi_y(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_0\\ x'_0\\ y_0\\ y'_0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\phi_x(s) \equiv \theta\sqrt{1-n} = \frac{s}{\rho}\sqrt{1-n} \quad \phi_y(s) \equiv \theta\sqrt{n} = \frac{s}{\rho}\sqrt{n}$$

 Taking n->0 (and being careful) gives the transport matrix for a dipole of bend angle θ without focusing

$$\begin{pmatrix} x(s) \\ x'(s) \\ y(s) \\ y'(s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \rho\sin\theta & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\rho}\sin\theta & \cos\theta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \rho\theta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x'_0 \\ y_0 \\ y'_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

T. Satogata / Fall 2011

Jefferson Lab



Transport Matrices: Drifts



- For n=0, there is no horizontal field or vertical force
 - The vertical transport matrix here is for a field-free drift
 - This applies in both x,y planes when there is no field





What About Momentum?

- So far we have assumed that the design trajectory particle and our particle have the same momentum
- How do equations change if we break this assumption?
 - Expect only horizontal motion changes to first order (A2)

$$p = p_0(1+\delta) \text{ where } \delta \equiv \frac{\Delta p}{p_0} \ll 1 \qquad p_0 = \text{design particle momentum}$$
$$\frac{d^2 x}{d\theta^2} + \left(\frac{qB_y}{p}R - 1\right)R = 0 \implies \frac{d^2 x}{d\theta^2} + \left(\frac{qB_y}{p_0(1+\delta)}R - 1\right)R = 0$$
$$\frac{d^2 x}{d\theta^2} + \left(\frac{qB_y}{p_0}(1-\delta)R - 1\right)R = 0$$
$$\frac{d^2 x}{d\theta^2} + \left(\frac{qB_y}{p_0}R - 1\right)R = \delta \frac{R^2 qB_y}{p_0} = \rho\delta$$
$$\frac{d^2 x}{d\theta^2} + (1-n)x = \rho\delta$$
Add inhomogeneous term to original $\delta = 0$ equation of motion

efferson Lab



Solutions of Dispersive Equations of Motion

$$\frac{d^2x}{d\theta^2} + (1-n)x = \rho\delta \qquad \qquad \frac{d^2y}{d\theta^2} + ny = 0$$

- This momentum effect is called dispersion
 - Similar to prism light dispersion in classical optics
- Solutions are simple harmonic oscillator solutions
 - But now we add a specific inhomogeneous solution

$$x(\theta) = A\cos(\theta\sqrt{1-n}) + B\sin(\theta\sqrt{1-n}) + \frac{\rho}{1-n}\delta$$
$$\frac{dx}{d\theta} = \sqrt{1-n}[-A\sin(\theta\sqrt{1-n}) + B\cos(\theta\sqrt{1-n})]$$

- Constants A,B again related to initial conditions (x_0, x'_0)
 - Unstants A, D again related to initial conditions (a)

$$A = x_0 - \frac{\rho}{1-n}\delta \qquad B = \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{1-n}}x_0'$$

 δ is constant (A4)

Jefferson Lab

T. Satogata / Fall 2011 MePAS Intro to Accel Physics



inhomogeneous term!



Solutions of Dispersive Equations of Motion

Write down solutions in terms of initial conditions

$$x'(\theta) = -\frac{\sqrt{1-n}}{\rho}\sin(\theta\sqrt{1-n})x_0 + \cos(\theta\sqrt{1-n})x'_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-n}}\sin(\theta\sqrt{1-n})\delta_0$$
$$x'(\theta) = \frac{1}{\rho}\frac{dx}{d\theta} = -\frac{\sqrt{1-n}}{\rho}\sin(\theta\sqrt{1-n})x_0 + \cos(\theta\sqrt{1-n})x'_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-n}}\sin(\theta\sqrt{1-n})\delta_0$$
$$\delta = \delta_0$$

 This can be now be "conveniently" written in terms of a 3x3 matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x(\theta) \\ x'(\theta) \\ \delta(\theta) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta\sqrt{1-n}) & \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{1-n}}\sin(\theta\sqrt{1-n}) & \frac{\rho}{1-n}[1-\cos(\theta\sqrt{1-n})] \\ -\frac{\sqrt{1-n}}{\rho}\sin(\theta\sqrt{1-n}) & \cos(\theta\sqrt{1-n}) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-n}}\sin(\theta\sqrt{1-n}) \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x'_0 \\ \delta_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

As usual, this can be simplified for n=0 (pure dipole) Note that δ has become a "coordinate"!

efferson Lab



Example: 180 Degree Dipole Magnet

$$\begin{pmatrix} x(\theta)\\ x'(\theta)\\ \delta(\theta) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta\sqrt{1-n}) & \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{1-n}}\sin(\theta\sqrt{1-n}) & \frac{\rho}{1-n}[1-\cos(\theta\sqrt{1-n})] \\ -\frac{\sqrt{1-n}}{\rho}\sin(\theta\sqrt{1-n}) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-n}}\sin(\theta\sqrt{1-n}) \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_0\\ x'_0\\ \delta_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$n = 0 \Rightarrow M_H(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \rho\sin\theta & \rho(1-\cos\theta) \\ -\frac{1}{\rho}\sin\theta & \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$n = 0, \ \theta = \pi \Rightarrow M_H(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 2\rho \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$ror initial coordinates \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 0\\ \pm\delta \end{pmatrix} = electrons moving through uniform vertical B field \\ \otimes & \otimes & \otimes \\ \begin{pmatrix} x\\ x'\\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 2\rho \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 0\\ \pm\delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \pm 2\rho\delta \\ 0\\ \pm\delta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$rhis makes sense from p/q=B\rho!$$

$$referson Lab$$

$$This conduct of the point o$$



T. Satogata / Fall 2011

Jefferson Lab





T. Satogata / Fall 2011

Jefferson Lab



Lorentz Lie Group Generators I

 Lorentz transformations can be described by a Lie group where a general Lorentz transformation is

$$A = e^L \qquad \det A = e^{\operatorname{Tr} L} = +1$$

where L is 4x4, real, and traceless. With metric g, the matrix gL is also antisymmetric, so L has the general six-parameter form

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & L_{01} & L_{02} & L_{03} \\ L_{01} & 0 & L_{12} & L_{13} \\ L_{02} & -L_{12} & 0 & L_{23} \\ L_{03} & -L_{13} & -L_{23} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Deep and profound connection to EM tensor $\mathsf{F}^{\alpha\beta}$

J.D. Jackson, Classical Electrodynamics 2nd Ed, Section 11.7



49

efferson Lab

Lorentz Lie Group Generators II

- A reasonable basis is provided by six generators
 - Three generate rotations in three dimensions

Three generate boosts in three dimensions



50

Jefferson Lab

Lorentz Lie Group Generators III

- $(S_{1,2,3})^2$ and $(K_{1,2,3})^2$ are diagonal.
- $(\epsilon \cdot S)^3 = -\epsilon \cdot S$ and $(\epsilon \cdot K)^3 = \epsilon \cdot K$ for any unit 3-vector ϵ
- Nice commutation relations:

 $[S_i, S_j] = \epsilon_{ijk} S_k \quad [S_i, K_j] = \epsilon_{ijk} K_k \quad [K_i, K_j] = -\epsilon_{ijk} S_k$

• We can then write the Lorentz transformation in terms of two three-vectors (6 parameters) ω, ζ as

$$L = -\omega \cdot S - \zeta \cdot K \qquad A = e^{-\omega \cdot S - \zeta \cdot K}$$

- Electric fields correspond to boosts
- Magnetic fields correspond to rotations
- Deep beauty in Poincare, Lorentz, Einstein connections

efferson Lab



(Frames and Lorentz Transformations)

- The lab frame will dominate most of our discussions
 - But not always (synchrotron radiation, space charge...)
- Invariance of space-time interval (Minkowski)

 $(ct')^2 - x'^2 - y'^2 - z'^2 = (ct)^2 - x^2 - y^2 - z^2$

- Lorentz transformation of four-vectors
 - For example, time/space coordinates in z velocity boost

$$\begin{pmatrix} ct' \\ x' \\ y' \\ z' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 & 0 & -\beta\gamma \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\beta\gamma & 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} ct \\ x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}$$

T. Satogata / Fall 2011

letterson Lab

MePAS Intro to Accel Physics



(Four-Velocity and Four-Momentum)

- The proper time interval $d\tau = dt/\gamma$ is Lorentz invariant
- So we can make a velocity 4-vector

$$cu^{\alpha} \equiv \left(\frac{dct}{d\tau}, \frac{dx}{d\tau}, \frac{dy}{d\tau}, \frac{dz}{d\tau}\right) = c\gamma(1, \beta_x, \beta_y, \beta_z)$$

Metric $g^{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$

• We can also make a 4-momentum

$$p^{\alpha} \equiv mcu^{\alpha} = mc\gamma(1,\beta_x,\beta_y,\beta_z)$$

Double-check that Minkowski norms are invariant

$$u^{\alpha}u_{\alpha} = u^{\alpha}g_{\alpha\beta}u^{\beta} = \gamma^{2}(1-\beta^{2}) = 1$$
$$p^{\alpha}p_{\alpha} = m^{2}c^{2}u^{\alpha}u_{\alpha} = m^{2}c^{2}$$

Jefferson Lab





$$s + t + u = (m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_3^2 + m_4^2)c^2$$

- Lorentz-invariant two-body kinematic variables
 - p₁₋₄ are four-momenta
- \sqrt{s} is the total available center of mass energy
 - Often quoted for colliders
- Used in calculations of other two-body scattering processes
 - Moller scattering (e-e), Compton scattering (e-γ)

efferson Lab

(Relativistic Newton)

$$\vec{F} = m\vec{a} = \frac{d\vec{p}}{dt}$$

 But now we can define a four-vector force in terms of four-momenta and proper time:

$$F^{\alpha} \equiv \frac{dp^{\alpha}}{d\tau}$$

 We are primarily concerned with electrodynamics so now we must make the classical electromagnetic force obey Lorentz transformations

$$\vec{F} = q\left(\vec{E} + \vec{v} \times \vec{B}\right)$$

Jefferson Lab



(Lorentz Lie Group Generators)

 Lorentz transformations can be described by a Lie group where a general Lorentz transformation is

$$A = e^L \qquad \det A = e^{\operatorname{Tr} L} = +1$$

where L is 4x4, real, and traceless. With metric g, the matrix gL is also antisymmetric, so L has the general six-parameter form

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & L_{01} & L_{02} & L_{03} \\ L_{01} & 0 & L_{12} & L_{13} \\ L_{02} & -L_{12} & 0 & L_{23} \\ L_{03} & -L_{13} & -L_{23} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Deep and profound connection to EM tensor $\mathsf{F}^{\alpha\beta}$

J.D. Jackson, Classical Electrodynamics 2nd Ed, Section 11.7



56

lefferson Lab

Livingston, Lawrence, 27"/69 cm Cyclotron





57

T. Satogata / Fall 2011

Jefferson Lab

The Joy of Physics

- Describing the events of January 9, 1932, Livingston is quoted saying:
 - "I recall the day when *I had adjusted the oscillator to a new high frequency*, and, with *Lawrence looking over my shoulder*, tuned the magnet through resonance. As the galvanometer spot swung across the scale, indicating that protons of 1-MeV energy were reaching the collector, *Lawrence literally danced around the room with glee*. The news quickly spread through the Berkeley laboratory, and we were busy all that day demonstrating million-volt protons to eager viewers."

APS Physics History, "Ernest Lawrence and M. Stanley Livingston



T. Satogata / Fall 2011



Modern Isochronous Cyclotrons

- Higher bending field at higher energies
 - But also introduces vertical defocusing
 - Use bending magnet "edge focusing" $B_{\rho} > 0$ for y > 0(later magnet lecture)



590 MeV PSI Isochronous Cyclotron (1974) Jefferson Lab

T. Satogata / Fall 2011



 $f_{\rm rf} = \frac{qB(\rho)}{2\pi\gamma(\rho)}$

250 MeV PSI Isochronous Cyclotron (2004) **MePAS Intro to Accel Physics** 59



Electrons, Magnetrons, ECRs

Radar/microwave magnetron





- Cyclotrons aren't very good for accelerating electrons
 - γ changes too quickly!
- But narrow-band response has advantages and uses
 - Microtrons
 - generate high-power microwaves from circulating electron current
 - ECRs
 - generate high-intensity ion beams and plasmas by resonantly stripping electrons with microwaves



Cyclotrons Today

- Cyclotrons continue to evolve
 - Many contemporary developments
 - Superconducting cyclotrons
 - Synchrocyclotrons (FM modulated RF)
 - Isochronous/Alternating Vertical Focusing (AVF)
 - FFAGs (Fixed Field Alternating Gradient)
 - Versatile with many applications even below ~500 MeV
 - High power (>1MW) neutron production
 - Reliable (medical isotope production, ion radiotherapy)
 - Power+reliability: ~5MW p beam for ADSR?



61

efferson Lab

