Introduction to Accelerator Physics Old Dominion University

Survey of Accelerator Instrumentation

Todd Satogata (Jefferson Lab) email satogata@jlab.org http://www.toddsatogata.net/2011-ODU

Tuesday 1 Dec 2011



1

T. Satogata / Fall 2011

Jefferson Lab

ODU Intro to Accel Physics

Class Schedule

- We have only a week of class left!
- Here is a modified version of the syllabus for the remaining weeks including where we are

Thursday 1 Dec	Survey of Accelerator Instrumentation	
Tuesday 6 Dec	Oral Presentation Finals (10 min ea)	
Thursday 8 Dec	No class (time to study for exams!)	
Exam week	Do well on your other exams!	

• Fill in your class feedback survey!

Jefferson Lab

Email your presentation (pdf, ppt, etc) to Todd by

4 PM Tuesday 6 Dec



Survey of Accelerator Instrumentation

- Various properties of the accelerator beam need to be measured
- Listed roughly in order of difficulty
 - Current/Intensity
 - Transverse position
 - Longitudinal size/distribution
 - Transverse size/distribution
 - (Polarization)
- Challenges include

lefferson Lab

- Destructive vs non-destructive (parasitic) measurements
- Wide dynamic ranges of signals
- Differing particle beam species



An accelerator can never be better than the instruments measuring its



Examples of Accelerator Instrumentation

Instrument	Physical Effect	Measured Quantity	Effect on beam
Faraday Cup	Charge collection	Intensity	Destructive
Current Transformer	Magnetic field	Intensity	Non destructive
Wall current monitor	Image Current	Intensity Longitudinal beam shape	Non destructive
Pick-up	Electric/magnetic field	Position	Non destructive
Secondary emission monitor	Secondary electron emission	Transverse size/shape, emittance	Disturbing, can be destructive at low energies
Wire Scanner	Secondary particle creation	Transverse size/shape	Slightly disturbing ©
Scintillator screen	Atomic excitation with light emission	Transverse size/shape (position)	Destructive
Residual Gas monitor	lonization	Transverse size/shape	Non destructive





Destructive Intensity Meter: Faraday Cup



- A conductor "cup" absorbs the charge of a low-energy beam
 - Discharge current proportional to total beam charge
 - ~1 nA = 6x10⁹ charges/sec in beam current on cup
- Limitations

Jefferson Lab

- Only low energy beams
 - backscattering charge loss
 - absorb entire incident beam; no beam should penetrate cup
- Secondary electrons
 - cause additional charge/current that looks like more beam



Parasitic Intensity: Current Transformer

 We can use Ampere's law to measure current passing through a series of transformer loops



- However, this only creates a magnetic field
 - It's much easier to measure electric fields (voltages, currents)
 - Faraday's law: time-varying B field creates E field
 - Alternatively, time-varying magnetic flux creates an EMF (or electric field, or voltage)

$$\mathcal{E}| = \left| \frac{d\Phi_B}{dt} \right|$$

Use two transformer loops in a "humbucker" configuration

Jefferson Lab











T. Satogata / Fall 2011



Parasitic Intensity: Current Transformer

- DCCTs are used for "nearly DC" and DC beams
 - synchrotrons (many circulating bunches)
 - linacs (e.g. CEBAF, with continuous beams)
 - High sensitivity and resolution due to feedback mechanism
 - Parasitic, so can be used with physics-quality beams
- Drawbacks

efferson Lab

- Sensitive to magnetic background (needs good shielding)
- Requires careful calibration between pickup toroids
- Cannot readily determine time structure of bunch
 - Feedback loop gives "average" beam current



Bunch Structure: Wall Current Monitors

- The charged beam travels around the accelerator
 - Usually in a conductive vacuum chamber
 - An equal and opposite "image charge" moves with the beam on the vacuum chamber wall
 - The conductive vacuum pipe acts as a Faraday cage
- We can interrupt the vacuum pipe to measure this image charge
 - In the simplest case, we could cut the vacuum pipe and bridge the gap with resistors
 - Measuring V(t) across a known R gives I(t) and thus beam current
 V(t) = RI(t)



But this is not good enough for large frequency ranges (GHz!)



12

lefferson Lab

Bunch Structure: Wall Current Monitors II

- Connect a nicely-matched 50Ω coaxial cable instead
- Maintain vacuum with a box around the coaxial cable
- Control frequency response of box with ferrite rings
 - Ferrites look like low-pass filters for electromagnetic waves
 - High frequency beam structure will couple to the coax and be measurable with good quality





LHC-style beam, 24e9 p/bunch 25 ns spacings, 2.4 ns full width half max 9V peak signal!

13

ODU Intro to Accel Physics



Beam Position

- Current moving past a pickup gives an electromagnetic signal
 - This signal is often proportional to the proximity of the beam
 - We can use small antennas (buttons or striplines) to pick up the signal of the passing beam at X and Y locations
 - Depends strongly on the bunch structure of the beam
 - Need two pickups (one on either side for each plane) to compensate for changes in signal due to beam intensity





T. Satogata / Fall 2011

Jefferson Lab

ODU Intro to Accel Physics

Beam Position II

Stripline pickups are like antennas that detect the image current



Voltage is proportional to dq/dz, derivative of beam current



