USPAS 2011 Graduate Accelerator Physics SUNY Stony Brook

Lecture 5: Magnets and Magnet Technology

Todd Satogata (Jefferson Lab) Waldo MacKay (BNL, retired) Ilkyoung Shin (UConn, Jefferson Lab)

Jefferson Lab



Outline

- Back to Maxwell
 - Parameterizing fields in accelerator magnets
 - Symmetries, comments about magnet construction
- Relating currents and fields
 - Equipotentials and contours, dipoles and quadrupoles
 - Thin magnet kicks and that ubiquitous rigidity
 - Complications: hysteresis, end fields
- More details about dipoles
 - Sector and rectangular bends; edge focusing
- Superconducting magnets
 - RHIC, LHC, future

efferson Lab

Solenoid (if time and you're not sick of it after last night's homework)

Other References

- Magnet design and a construction is a specialized field all its own
 - Electric, Magnetic, Electromagnetic modeling
 - 2D, 3D, static vs dynamic
 - Materials science

efferson Lab

- Conductors, superconductors, ferrites, superferrites
- Measurements and mapping
 - e.g. g-2 experiment: 1 PPM field uniformity, 14m SC dipole
- Entire USPAS courses have been given on just superconducting magnet design
 - <u>http://www.bnl.gov/magnets/staff/gupta/scmag-course/</u> (Ramesh Gupta and Animesh Jain, BNL)



EM/Maxwell Review I

Recall our relativistic Lorentz force

$$\frac{d(\gamma m \vec{v})}{dt} = q \left(\vec{E} + \vec{v} \times \vec{B} \right)$$

- For large γ common in accelerators, magnetic fields are much more effective for changing particle momenta
- Can mostly separate E (RF, septa) and B (DC magnets)
 - Some places you can't, e.g. plasma wakefields, betatrons
- Easiest/simplest: magnets with constant B field
 - Constant-strength optics

efferson Lab

- Even varying B field accelerator magnets change field so slowly that E fields are negligible
- Consistent with assumptions for "standard canonical coordinates", p 49 Conte and MacKay



EM/Maxwell Review II

Maxwell's Equations for B,H and magetization M are

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0$$
 $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{H} = \vec{j} + \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} = \vec{j}$ $\vec{H} \equiv \vec{B}/\mu - \vec{M}$

Magnetic vector potential A

lefferson Lab

$$\vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}$$
 since $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} = 0$

- 2D ($B_z=H_z=0$), using paraxial approximation ($p_{x,y} << p_0$)
- Away from magnet coils (j=0, M=0)
 - Simple homogeneous differential field equations

$$\frac{\partial B_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial y} = 0 \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial x} = 0$$



Parameterizing Solutions

$$\frac{\partial B_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial y} = 0 \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial x} = 0$$

- What are solutions to these equations?
 - Constant field: $\vec{B} = B_x x^0 \hat{x} + B_y y^0 \hat{y}$
 - Dipole fields, usually either only B_x or B_y
 - 360 degree (2 π) rotational "symmetry"
 - First order field: $\vec{B} = (B_{xx}x + B_{xy}y)\hat{x} + (B_{yx}x + B_{yy}y)\hat{y}$
 - Maxwell gives $B_n = Bxx = -B_{yy}$ and $B_s = B_{xy} = B_{yx}$

$$\vec{B} = B_n(x\hat{x} - y\hat{y}) + B_s(x\hat{y} + y\hat{x})$$

- Quadrupole fields, either normal B_n or skew B_s
- 180 degree (π) rotational symmetry
- 90 degree rotation interchanges normal/skew
- Higher order...

efferson Lab





Sextupole and skew sextupole n=3





T. Satogata / Summer 2011 USPAS / Graduate Accel Physics



7

M

Visualizing Dipole and Quadrupole Fields II Image: Constraint of the second s

LHC dipole field

Jefferson Lab

- LHC dipole: B_v gives horizontal bending
- LEP quadrupole: B_y on x axis, B_x on y axis
 - Horizontal focusing=vertical defocusing or vice-versa
 - No coupling between horizontal/vertical motion
 - Note the nice "harmonic" field symmetries

T. Satogata / Summer 2011 USPAS / Graduate Accel Physics



General Multipole Field Expansions

- Rotational symmetries, cylindrical coordinates
 - Power series in radius r with angular harmonics in $\boldsymbol{\theta}$

$$x = r \cos \theta \qquad y = r \sin \theta$$
$$B_y = B_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n (b_n \cos n\theta - a_n \sin n\theta)$$
$$B_x = B_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n (a_n \cos n\theta + b_n \sin n\theta)$$

Need "reference radius" a (to get units right)

efferson Lab

- (b_n,a_n) are called (normal,skew) multipole coefficients
- We can also write this succinctly using de Moivre as

$$B_x - iB_y = B_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_n - ib_n) \left(\frac{x + iy}{a}\right)^n$$



But Do These Equations Solve Maxwell?

Yes
 Convert Maxwell's eqns to cylindrical coords

$$\frac{\partial B_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial y} = 0 \qquad \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial x} = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial (\rho B_\rho)}{\partial \rho} + \frac{\partial B_\theta}{\partial \theta} = 0 \qquad \frac{\partial (\rho B_\theta)}{\partial \rho} - \frac{\partial B_\rho}{\partial \theta} = 0$$

Aligning r along the x-axis it's easy enough to see

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$$

In general it's (much, much) more tedious but it works

 $\frac{\partial r}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{\cos \theta}, \ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} = \frac{-1}{r \sin \theta}, \ \frac{\partial r}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{\sin \theta}, \ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{r \cos \theta}$ $\frac{\partial B_x}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \dots$

T. Satogata / Summer 2011 USPAS / Graduate Accel Physics

efferson Lab



Multipoles

 $(b,a)_n$ "unit" is 10⁻⁴ (natural scale) $(b,a)_n$ (US) = $(b,a)_{n+1}$ (European)!

coefficient	multipole	field	notes
b_0	normal dipole	$B_y = B_0 b_0$	horz. bending
a_0	skew dipole	$B_x = B_0 a_0$	vert. bending
b_1	normal quadrupole	$B_x = B_0\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)b_1\sin\theta = B_0\left(\frac{y}{a}\right)b_1$ $B_y = B_0\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)b_1\cos\theta = B_0\left(\frac{x}{a}\right)b_1$	focusing defocusing
a_1	skew quadrupole	$B_x = B_0\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)a_1\cos\theta = B_0\left(\frac{x}{a}\right)a_1$ $B_y = -B_0\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)a_1\sin\theta = -B_0\left(\frac{y}{a}\right)a_1$	coupling
b_2	normal sextupole	$B_x = B_0 \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^2 b_2 \sin(2\theta)$ $B_y = B_0 \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^2 b_1 \cos(2\theta)$	nonlinear!



Multipole Symmetries

- Dipole has 2π rotation symmetry (or π upon current reversal)
- Quad has π rotation symmetry (or $\pi/2$ upon current reversal)
- k-pole has 2π/k rotation symmetry upon current reversal
- We try to enforce symmetries in design/construction
 - Limits permissible magnet errors
 - Higher order fields that obey main field symmetry are called allowed multipoles



Multipole Symmetries II

- So a dipole (n=0, 2 poles) has allowed multipoles:
 - Sextupole (n=2, 6 poles), Decapole (n=4, 10 poles)...
- A quadrupole (n=1, 4 poles) has allowed multipoles:
 - Dodecapole (n=5, 12 poles), Twenty-pole (n=9, 20 poles)...
- General allowed multipoles: (2k+1)(n+1)-1

efferson Lab

- Or, more conceptually, (3,5,7,...) times number of poles
- Other multipoles are forbidden by symmetries
 - Smaller than allowed multipoles, but no magnets are perfect
 - Large measured forbidden multipoles mean fabrication or fundamental design problems!
- Better magnet pole face quality with punched laminations
 - Dynamics are usually dominated by lower-order multipoles



Equipotentials and Contours

- Let's get around to building some magnets
 - Conductors on outside, field on inside
 - Use high-permeability iron to shape fields: iron-dominated
 - Pole faces are nearly equipotentials, \perp B,H field
 - We work with a magnetostatic scaler potential Ψ
 - B,H field lines are \perp to equipotential lines of Ψ

$$\vec{H} = \vec{\nabla} \Psi$$

 $\Psi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a}{n+1} \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{n+1} \left[F_n \cos((n+1)\theta) + G_n \sin((n+1)\theta)\right]$ where $G_n \equiv B_0 b_n / \mu_0, F_n \equiv B_0 a_n / \mu_0$

This comes from integrating our B field expansion. Let's look at normal multipoles G_n and pole faces...

efferson Lab



Equipotentials and Contours II

For general G_n normal multipoles (i.e. for b_n)

 $\Psi(\text{equipotential for } b_n) \propto r^{n+1} \sin[(n+1)\theta] = \text{constant}$

- Dipole (n=0): $\Psi(\text{dipole}) \propto r \sin \theta = y$
 - Normal dipole pole faces are y=constant
- Quadrupole (n=1):

efferson Lab

- Ψ (quadrupole) $\propto r^2 \sin(2\theta) = 2xy$
- Normal quadrupole pole faces are xy=constant (hyperbolic)
- So what conductors and currents are needed to generate these fields?



iron



Dipole Field/Current

Use Ampere's law to calculate field in gap

Jefferson Lab

- N "turns" of conductor around each pole
- Each conductor carries current l



Field integral is through N-S poles and (highly permeable) iron (including return path)

$$2NI = \oint \vec{H} \cdot d\vec{l} = 2aH \quad \Rightarrow \quad H = \frac{NI}{a} , \ B = \frac{\mu_0 NI}{a}$$

NI is in "Amp-turns", μ_0 =1.2 cm-G/A $\Delta x' = \frac{BL}{(B\rho)}$

- NI is in "Amp-turns", μ_0 =1.2 cm-G/A
 - So a=2cm, B=600 G requires NI~1000 Amp-turns



Wait, What's That $\Delta x'$ Equation?

$$\Delta x' = \frac{BL}{(B\rho)} \longleftarrow \text{Field, length: Properties of magnet} \\ \longleftarrow \text{Rigidity: property of beam} \text{ (really p/q!}$$

- This is the angular transverse kick from a thin dipole, like a dipole corrector
 - Really a change in p_x but paraxial approximation applies
 - The B in $(B\rho)$ is not necessarily the main dipole B
 - The ρ in (B ρ) is not necessarily the ring circumference/ 2π
 - And neither is related to this particular dipole kick!



T. Satogata / Summer 2011 USPAS / Graduate Accel Physics

Quadrupole Field/Current



Higher Orders

We can follow the full expansion for 2(n+1)-pole:

$$\Psi_n = NI\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{n+1}\sin((n+1)\theta)$$

 $H_x = (n+1)\frac{NI}{a} \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \sin n\theta \qquad H_y = (n+1)\frac{NI}{a} \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \cos n\theta$

For the sextupole (n=2) we find the nonlinear field as

$$\vec{B} = \frac{3\mu_0 NI}{a^3} [2xy\hat{x} + (x^2 + y^2)\hat{y}]$$

Now define a strength as an nth derivative

efferson Lab

$$B'' \equiv \frac{\partial^2 B_y}{\partial x^2}|_{y=0} = \frac{6\mu_0 NI}{a^3} \qquad \Delta x' = \frac{1}{2} \frac{B'' L}{(B\rho)} (x^2 + y^2)$$





- e.g. always rising on hysteresis loop
- Large spread at large field (1.7 T): saturation

Degaussing

Jefferson Lab



End Fields

- Magnets are not infinitely long: ends are important!
 - Conductors: where coils usually come in and turn around
 - Longitudinal symmetries break down
 - Sharp corners on iron are first areas to saturate
 - Usually a concern over distances of ±1-2 x magnet gap
 - A big deal for short, large-aperture magnets; ends dominate!
- Solution: simulate... a lot
 - Test prototypes too

Jefferson Lab

- Quadratic end chamfer eases sextupoles from ends (first allowed harmonic of dipole)
- More on dipole end focusing...



PEFP prototype magnet (Korea) 9 cm gap,1.4m long

21



T. Satogata / Summer 2011 USPAS / Graduate Accel Physics

Dipoles: Sector and Rectangular Bends

- Sector bend (sbend)
 - Beam design entry/exit angles are \perp to end faces



- Simpler to conceptualize, but harder to build
- Rectangular bend (rbend)

Jefferson Lab

Beam design entry/exit angles are half of bend angle



Easier to build, but must include effects of edge focusing





Sector Bend Transport Matrix



You did this earlier (eqn 3.102 of book)



Has all the "right" behaviors

lefferson Lab

- You derived some nice things about this in the homework!
 - But what about rectangular bends?





- We treat general case of symmetric dipole end angles
 - Superposition: looks like wedges on end of sector dipole
 - Rectangular bends are a special case

Jefferson Lab



Kick from a Thin Wedge

 The edge focusing calculation requires the kick from a thin wedge

$$\Delta x' = \frac{B_z L}{(B\rho)}$$
What is L? (distance in wedge)

$$\tan\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) = \frac{L/2}{x}$$

$$L = 2x \tan\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \approx x \tan \alpha$$
So $\Delta x' = \frac{B_z \tan \alpha}{(B\rho)} x = \frac{\tan \alpha}{\rho} x$

Here ρ is the curvature for a particle of this momentum!!

T. Satogata / Summer 2011 USPAS / Graduate Accel Physics

Jefferson Lab



Dipole Matrix with Ends

The matrix of a dipole with thick ends is then

$$M_{\text{sector dipole}}(x, x', \delta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \rho \sin \theta & \rho(1 - \cos \theta) \\ -\frac{1}{\rho} \sin \theta & \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$M_{\text{end lens}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{\tan \alpha}{\rho} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $M_{\rm edge-focused\,dipole} = M_{\rm end\,lens} M_{\rm sector\,dipole} M_{\rm end\,lens}$

$$M_{\text{edge-focused dipole}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\cos(\theta - \alpha)}{\cos \alpha} & \rho \sin \theta & \rho(1 - \cos \theta) \\ -\frac{\sin(\theta - 2\alpha)}{\rho \cos^2 \alpha} & \frac{\cos(\theta - \alpha)}{\cos \alpha} & \frac{\sin(\theta - \alpha) + \sin \alpha}{\cos \alpha} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

• Rectangular bend is special case where $\alpha = \theta/2$

Jefferson Lab







- Weaker, cheaper dipoles can be made by conforming coils to a beam-pipe (no iron)
- Very inexpensive, but you get what you pay for
 - Field quality on the order of percent

Jefferson Lab



Normal vs Superconducting Magnets



LEP quadrupole magnet (NC)

Jefferson Lab



LHC dipole magnets (SC)







RHIC cos(θ)-style superconducting magnets and yokes NbTi in Cu stabilizer, iron yokes, saturation holes Full field design strength is up to 20 Mpa (3 kpsi)

Jefferson Lab





SC Dipole Magnet Comparison





Direct-Wind Superconducting Magnets (BNL)

- 6T Iron-free (superconducting)
- Solid state coolers (no Helium)
- Field containment (LC magnet)
- "Direct-wind" construction



World's first "direct wind" coil machine at BNL

Jefferson Lab



Linear Collider magnet

34



T. Satogata / Summer 2011 USPAS / Graduate Accel Physics



Solenoid example (from book)

Jefferson Lab

