USPAS Accelerator Physics 2019 Northern Illinois University and UT-Batelle

Chapter 6: Magnets and Magnet Technology

Todd Satogata (Jefferson Lab and ODU) / <u>satogata@jlab.org</u> Steve Peggs (BNL) / <u>peggs@bnl.gov</u> Bhawin Dhital (ODU) / <u>bdhit001@odu.edu</u> and Kiel Hock (BNL) / <u>khock@bnl.gov</u> <u>http://www.toddsatogata.net/2019-USPAS</u>

> Happy Birthday to! Happy!



1

efferson Lab

Overview

- Back to Maxwell
 - Parameterizing fields in accelerator magnets
 - Symmetries, comments about magnet construction
- Relating currents and fields
 - Equipotentials and contours, dipoles and quadrupoles
 - Thin magnet kicks and that ubiquitous rigidity
 - Complications: hysteresis, end fields
- More details about dipoles
 - Sector and rectangular bends; edge focusing
- Extras: Superconducting magnets
 - RHIC, LHC, the future
- (Ideal Solenoid (homework!?))

Jefferson Lab



Other References

- Magnet design and a construction is a specialized field all its own
 - Electric, Magnetic, Electromagnetic modeling
 - 2D, 3D, static vs dynamic
 - Materials science
 - Conductors, superconductors, ferrites, superferrites
 - Measurements and mapping
 - e.g. g-2 experiment: 1 PPM field uniformity, 14m SC dipole
- Entire USPAS courses have been given on just superconducting magnet design
 - <u>http://www.bnl.gov/magnets/staff/gupta/scmag-course/</u> (Ramesh Gupta and Animesh Jain, BNL)



g-2 magnet



USPAS Accelerator Physics

EM/Maxwell Review I

Recall our relativistic Lorentz force

$$\frac{d(\gamma m \vec{v})}{dt} = q \left(\vec{E} + \vec{v} \times \vec{B} \right)$$

- For large γ common in accelerators, transverse magnetic fields are **much** more effective for changing particle momenta
- Can mostly separate E (RF, septa) and B (DC magnets)
 - Some exceptions, e.g. plasma wakefields, betatrons, RFQ
- Easiest/simplest: magnets with constant B field
 - Constant-strength optics

efferson Lab

- Most varying B field accelerator magnets change field so slowly that E fields are negligible
- Consistent with our constant-field assumptions



EM/Maxwell Review II

- Maxwell's Equations for \vec{B}, \vec{H} and magnetization \vec{M} are

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0 \qquad \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{H} = \vec{j} + \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} = \vec{j} \qquad \vec{H} \equiv \vec{B}/\mu - \vec{M}$$

• A magnetic vector potential \vec{A} exists

$$\vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}$$
 since $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} = 0$

- Transverse 2D ($B_z=H_z=0$), paraxial approx ($p_{x,y} << p_0$)
- Away from magnet coils ($\vec{j} = 0, \ \vec{M} = 0$)
 - Simple homogeneous differential equations for fields

$$\frac{\partial B_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial y} =$$

efferson Lab

$$\frac{\partial B_x}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial x} = 0$$



Parameterizing Solutions

$$\frac{\partial B_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial y} = 0 \qquad \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial x} = 0$$

- What are solutions to these equations?
 - Constant field: $\vec{B} = B_x x^0 \hat{x} + B_y y^0 \hat{y}$
 - Dipole fields, usually either only B_x or B_y
 - 360 degree (2π) rotational "symmetry"
 - First order field: $\vec{B} = (B_{xx}x + B_{xy}y)\hat{x} + (B_{yx}x + B_{yy}y)\hat{y}$
 - Maxwell reduces 4 vars to 2: $B_s = B_{xx} = -B_{yy}$ and $B_n = B_{xy} = B_{yx}$

$$\vec{B} = B_n(x\hat{y} + y\hat{x}) + B_s(x\hat{x} - y\hat{y})$$

- Quadrupole fields, either normal B_n or skew B_s
- 180 degree (π) rotational symmetry
- 90 degree rotation interchanges normal/skew
- Higher order...

efferson Lab





Sextupole and skew sextupole n=3



Jefferson Lab







Visualizing Dipole and Quadrupole Fields II

LHC dipole field

Jefferson Lab

LEP quadrupole field

- LHC dipole: B_v gives horizontal bending
- LEP quadrupole: B_y on x axis, B_x on y axis
 - Horizontal focusing=vertical defocusing or vice-versa
 - No coupling between horizontal/vertical motion
 - Note the nice "harmonic" field symmetries



General Multipole Field Expansions

- Rotational symmetries, cylindrical coordinates
 - Power series in radius r with angular harmonics in q

$$x = r \cos \theta \qquad y = r \sin \theta$$
$$B_y = B_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n (b_n \cos n\theta - a_n \sin n\theta)$$
$$B_x = B_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n (a_n \cos n\theta + b_n \sin n\theta)$$

- Need "reference radius" a (to get units right)
- (b_n,a_n) are called (normal,skew) multipole coefficients
- We can also write this succinctly using de Moivre as

$$B_x - iB_y = B_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_n - ib_n) \left(\frac{x + iy}{a}\right)^n$$

efferson Lab



(But Do These Equations Solve Maxwell?)

Yes ③ Convert Maxwell's eqns to cylindrical coords

$$\frac{\partial B_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial y} = 0 \qquad \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial x} = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial (\rho B_\rho)}{\partial \rho} + \frac{\partial B_\theta}{\partial \theta} = 0 \qquad \frac{\partial (\rho B_\theta)}{\partial \rho} - \frac{\partial B_\rho}{\partial \theta} = 0$$

Aligning r along the x-axis it's easy enough to see

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$$

In general it's (much, much) more tedious but it works

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{\cos \theta}, \ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} = \frac{-1}{r \sin \theta}, \ \frac{\partial r}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{\sin \theta}, \ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{r \cos \theta}$$
$$\frac{\partial B_x}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \dots$$
Jefferson Lab
T. Satogata / January 2019
USPAS Accelerator Physics
11



Multipoles

 $(b,a)_n$ "unit" is 10⁻⁴ (natural scale) $(b,a)_n$ (US) = $(b,a)_{n+1}$

coefficient	$\mathbf{multipole}$	field	\mathbf{notes}
b_0	normal dipole	$B_y = B_0 b_0$	horz. bending
a_0	skew dipole	$B_x = B_0 a_0$	vert. bending
b_1	normal quadrupole	$B_x = B_0\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)b_1\sin\theta = B_0\left(\frac{y}{a}\right)b_1$ $B_y = B_0\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)b_1\cos\theta = B_0\left(\frac{x}{a}\right)b_1$	focusing defocusing
a_1	skew quadrupole	$B_x = B_0\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)a_1\cos\theta = B_0\left(\frac{x}{a}\right)a_1$ $B_y = -B_0\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)a_1\sin\theta = -B_0\left(\frac{y}{a}\right)a_1$	coupling
b_2	normal sextupole	$B_x = B_0 \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^2 b_2 \sin(2\theta)$ $B_z = B_0 \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^2 b_z \cos(2\theta)$	nonlinear!



Multipole Symmetries

- Dipole has 2π rotation symmetry (or π upon current reversal)
- Quad has π rotation symmetry (or $\pi/2$ upon current reversal)
- k-pole has $2\pi/k$ rotation symmetry upon current reversal
- We try to enforce symmetries in design/construction
 - Limits permissible magnet errors
 - Higher order fields that obey main field symmetry are called allowed multipoles



Multipole Symmetries II

- So a dipole (n=0, 2 poles) has allowed multipoles:
 - Sextupole (n=2, 6 poles), Decapole (n=4, 10 poles)...
- A quadrupole (n=1, 4 poles) has allowed multipoles:
 - Dodecapole (n=5, 12 poles), Twenty-pole (n=9, 20 poles)...
- General allowed multipoles: (2k+1)(n+1)-1

efferson Lab

- Or, more conceptually, (3,5,7,...) times number of poles
- Other multipoles are forbidden by symmetries
 - Smaller than allowed multipoles, but no magnets are perfect
 - Large measured forbidden multipoles mean fabrication or fundamental design problems!
- Better magnet pole face quality with punched laminations
- Dynamics are usually dominated by lower-order multipoles





Equipotentials and Contours

- Let's get around to designing some magnets
 - Conductors on outside, field on inside
 - Use high-permeability iron to shape fields: iron-dominated
 - Pole faces are very nearly equipotentials
 - We work with a magnetostatic scalar potential Ψ
 - B, H field lines are perpendicular to equipotential lines of Ψ

$$\vec{H} = \vec{\nabla} \Psi$$

 $\Psi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a}{n+1} \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{n+1} \left[F_n \cos((n+1)\theta) + G_n \sin((n+1)\theta)\right]$ where $G_n \equiv B_0 b_n / \mu_0, F_n \equiv B_0 a_n / \mu_0$

> This comes from integrating our B field expansion. Let's look at normal multipoles G_n and pole faces...

efferson Lab



Equipotentials and Contours II

For general G_n normal multipoles (i.e. for b_n)

 $\Psi(\text{equipotential for } b_n) \propto r^{n+1} \sin[(n+1)\theta] = \text{constant}$

- Dipole (n=0): $\Psi(\text{dipole}) \propto r \sin \theta = y$
 - Normal dipole pole faces are y=constant
- Quadrupole (n=1):

efferson Lab

 Ψ (quadrupole) $\propto r^2 \sin(2\theta) = 2xy$

- Normal quadrupole pole faces are xy=constant (hyperbolic)
- So what conductors and currents are needed to generate these fields?



iron



Dipole Field/Current

 Use Ampere's law to calculate field in gap

Jefferson Lab

- N "turns" of conductor around each pole
- Each turn of conductor carries current I



(C-style dipole)

- Field integral is through N-S poles and (highly permeable) iron (including return path) $2NI = \oint \vec{H} \cdot d\vec{l} = 2aH \quad \Rightarrow \quad H = \frac{NI}{a} \ , \ B = \frac{\mu_0 NI}{a}$ $\Delta x' = \frac{BL}{(Bo)}$
- NI is in "Amp-turns", $\mu_0 \sim 1.257$ cm-G/A
 - So a=2cm, B=600G requires NI~955 Amp-turns



Wait, What's That ∆x' Equation?

$$\Delta x' = \frac{BL}{(B\rho)} \longleftarrow \text{Field, length: Properties of magnet} \\ \longleftarrow \text{Rigidity: property of beam} \text{ (really p/q!}$$

- This is the angular transverse kick from a thin hardedge dipole, like a dipole corrector
 - Really a change in p_x but paraxial approximation applies
 - The B in (Bp) is not necessarily the main dipole B
 - The ρ in (B ρ) is not necessarily the ring circumference/ 2π
 - And neither is related to this particular dipole kick!



Quadrupole Field/Current



(NB: Be careful, ' has different meaning in B', B'', B'''...) T. Satogata / January 2019 USPAS Accelerator Physics

Jefferson Lab



Quadrupole Transport Matrix

Paraxial equations of motion for constant quadrupole field

$$\frac{d^2x}{ds^2} + kx = 0 \qquad \frac{d^2y}{ds^2} - ky = 0$$
$$s \equiv \beta ct$$
$$k \equiv \frac{B'}{(B\rho)} = \frac{2\mu_0 NI}{a^2} \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)$$

Integrating over a magnet of length L gives (exactly)

Focusing
Quadrupole
$$\begin{pmatrix} x\\x' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(L\sqrt{k}) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\sin(L\sqrt{k}) \\ -\sqrt{k}\sin(L\sqrt{k}) & \cos(L\sqrt{k}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_0\\x'_0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{M}_F \begin{pmatrix} x_0\\x'_0 \end{pmatrix}$$
Defocusing
Quadrupole
$$\begin{pmatrix} y\\y' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(L\sqrt{k}) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\sinh(L\sqrt{k}) \\ \sqrt{k}\sinh(L\sqrt{k}) & \cosh(L\sqrt{k}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_0\\y'_0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{M}_D \begin{pmatrix} y_0\\y'_0 \end{pmatrix}$$



21

Jefferson Lab

Thin Quadrupole Transport Matrix

Focusing
Quadrupole
$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(L\sqrt{k}) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\sin(L\sqrt{k}) \\ -\sqrt{k}\sin(L\sqrt{k}) & \cos(L\sqrt{k}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x'_0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{M}_F \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x'_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Defocusing $\begin{pmatrix} y \\ y' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(L\sqrt{k}) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\sinh(L\sqrt{k}) \\ \sqrt{k}\sinh(L\sqrt{k}) & \cosh(L\sqrt{k}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ y'_0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{M}_D \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ y'_0 \end{pmatrix}$

- Quadrupoles are often "thin"
 - Focal length is much longer than magnet length
- Then we can use the thin-lens approximation $L\sqrt{k} \ll 1$

Thin quadrupole approximation

Jefferson Lab

$$\mathbf{M}_{F,D} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ \mp kL & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ \mp \frac{1}{f} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where f=1/(kL) is the quadrupole focal length





Picturing Drift and Quadrupole Motion





23

Jefferson Lab

Picturing Drift and Quadrupole Motion



Higher Orders

We can follow the full expansion for 2(n+1)-pole:

$$\Psi_n = NI\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{n+1}\sin((n+1)\theta)$$

 $H_x = (n+1)\frac{NI}{a} \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \sin n\theta \qquad H_y = (n+1)\frac{NI}{a} \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \cos n\theta$

For the sextupole (n=2) we find the nonlinear field as

$$\vec{B} = \frac{3\mu_0 NI}{a^3} [2xy\hat{x} + (x^2 + y^2)\hat{y}]$$

Now define a strength as an nth derivative

efferson Lab

$$B'' \equiv \frac{\partial^2 B_y}{\partial x^2}|_{y=0} = \frac{6\mu_0 NI}{a^3} \qquad \Delta x' = \frac{1}{2} \frac{B'' L}{(B\rho)} (x^2 + y^2)$$

(NB: Be careful, ' has different meaning in B', B'', B'''...) T. Satogata / January 2019 USPAS Accelerator Physics





- Usually try to run magnets "on hysteresis"
 - e.g. always on one side of hysteresis loop Large spread at large field (1+ T): saturation

Degaussing

Jefferson Lab





USPAS Accelerator Physics

End Fields

- Magnets are not infinitely long: ends are important!
 - Conductors: where coils usually come in and turn around
 - Longitudinal symmetries break down
 - Sharp corners on iron are first areas to saturate
 - Usually a concern over distances of ±1-2 times magnet gap
 - A big deal for short, large-aperture magnets; ends dominate!
- Solution: simulate... a lot
 - Test prototypes too

Jefferson Lab

- Quadratic end chamfer eases sextupoles from ends (first allowed harmonic of dipole)
- More on dipole end focusing...



PEFP prototype magnet (Korea) 9 cm gap,1.4m long

27



USPAS Accelerator Physics

4.4: Dipoles, Sector and Rectangular Bends

- Sector bend (sbend)
 - Beam design entry/exit angles are perpendicular to end faces



- Simpler to conceptualize, but harder to build
- Rectangular bend (rbend)

lefferson Lab

Beam design entry/exit angles are half of bend angle



Easier to build, but must include effects of edge focusing



Sector Bend Transport Matrix



The dipole "rotation" that we see in phase space movies



Has all the "right" behaviors

lefferson Lab

But what about rectangular bends?





- We treat general case of symmetric dipole end angles
 - Superposition: looks like wedges on end of sector dipole
 - Rectangular bends are a special case

Jefferson Lab



Kick from a Thin Wedge

 The edge focusing calculation requires the kick from a thin wedge



Here ρ is the curvature for a particle of this momentum!!

Jefferson Lab



Dipole Matrix with Ends

The matrix of a dipole with thick ends is then

$$M_{\text{sector dipole}}(x, x', \delta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \rho \sin \theta & \rho(1 - \cos \theta) \\ -\frac{1}{\rho} \sin \theta & \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$M_{\text{end lens}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{\tan \alpha}{\rho} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $M_{\rm edge-focused\,dipole} = M_{\rm end\,lens} M_{\rm sector\,dipole} M_{\rm end\,lens}$

$$M_{\text{edge-focused dipole}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\cos(\theta - \alpha)}{\cos \alpha} & \rho \sin \theta & \rho(1 - \cos \theta) \\ -\frac{\sin(\theta - 2\alpha)}{\rho \cos^2 \alpha} & \frac{\cos(\theta - \alpha)}{\cos \alpha} & \frac{\sin(\theta - \alpha) + \sin \alpha}{\cos \alpha} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

• Rectangular bend is special case where $\alpha = \theta/2$

Jefferson Lab







- Weaker, cheaper dipoles can be made by conforming coils to a beam-pipe (no iron)
- Relatively inexpensive, but not very precise
 - Field quality on the order of percent

Jefferson Lab



Normal vs Superconducting Magnets





LEP quadrupole magnet (NC)

Jefferson Lab

LHC dipole magnets (SC)

 Note high field strengths (red) where flux lines are densely packed together

USPAS Accelerator Physics





RHIC cos(θ)-style superconducting magnets and yokes NbTi in Cu stabilizer, iron yokes, saturation holes Full field design strength is up to 20 MPa (3 kpsi) 4.5 K, 3.45 Tesla

Jefferson Lab





Superconducting cables: NbTi in Cu matrix

Jefferson Lab

- Single 5 µm filament at 6T carries ~50 mA of current
- Strand has 5-10k filaments, or carries 250-500 A
- Magnet currents are often 5-10 kA: 10-40 strands in cable
 - Balance of stresses, compactable to stable high density





Jefferson Lab







Quenching Magnetic stored energy $E = \frac{B^2}{2\mu_0}$ $B = 5 \text{ T}, \quad E = 10^7 \text{ J/m}^3$ LHC dipole $E = \frac{LI^2}{2}$ $L = 0.12 \,\mathrm{H}$ $I = 11.5 \,\mathrm{kA}$ $\Rightarrow E = 7.8 \times 10^6 \text{ J}$ 22 ton magnet \Rightarrow Energy of 22 tons, v = 92 km/hr!





Martin Wilson, JUAS Feb 2006 **USPAS Accelerator Physics**





efferson

- Resistive region starts somewhere in the winding at a point: A problem!
 - Cable/insulation slipping
 - Inter-cable short; insulation failure
- Grows by thermal conduction
- Stored energy $\frac{1}{2}LI^2$ of the magnet is dissipated as heat
- Greatest integrated heat dissipation is at localized point where the quench starts
- Internal voltages **much** greater than terminal voltage (= V_{cs} current supply)
 - Can profoundly damage magnet
 - Quench protection is important!



ary 2019

Quench Training

- Intentionally raising current until magnet quenches
 - Later quenches presumably occur at higher currents
 - Compacts conductors in cables, settles in stable position
 - Sometimes necessary to achieve operating current



Jefferson Lab



Direct-Wind Superconducting Magnets (BNL)

- 6T Iron-free (superconducting)
- Solid state coolers (no Helium)
- Field containment (LC magnet)
- "Direct-wind" construction



World's first "direct wind" coil machine at BNL

Jefferson Lab



Linear Collider magnet

44



T. Satogata / January 2019

USPAS Accelerator Physics



- Used to produce synchrotron radiation for FELs
 - Often rare earth permanent magnets in Halbach arrays
 - Adjust magnetic field intensity by moving array up/down
 - Undulators: produce nm wavelength FEL light from ~cm magnetic periods (γ² leverage in undulator equation)
 - Narrow band high spectral intensity
 - Wigglers: higher energy, lower flux, more like dipole synchrotron radiation
 - More about synchrotron light and FELs etc next week
 - LCLS: 130+m long undulator!

lefferson Lab



Feedback to Magnet Builders

http://www.agsrhichome.bnl.gov/AP/ap_notes/RHIC_AP_80.pdf

FEEDBACK BETWEEN ACCELERATOR PHYSICISTS AND MAGNET BUILDERS

S. PEGGS

Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA

Submitted to the proceedings of the LHC Single Particle Dynamics Workshop, Montreux, 1996.

1 PHILOSOPHY

lefferson Lab

Our task is not to record history but to change it. K. Marx (paraphrased)

How should Accelerator Physicists set magnet error specifications? In a crude social model, they place tolerance limits on undesirable nonlinearities and errors (higher order harmonics, component alignments, et cetera). The Magnet Division then goes away for a suitably lengthy period of time, and comes back with a working magnet prototype that is reproduced in industry.

